REMARKS

Claims 7, 8, and 11-24 are pending.

Claims 7, 8, 11-12, 14-16, and 18-24 are amended.

Claims 25-28 are newly added.

1. Claims 7, 8, 11-24 were rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as anticipated by Kolba et al.

The Office Action cited Kloba, however, the rejection references "U.S. 6,144,997". Kloba instead issued as U.S. 6,421,717. Applicant compared Kloba (and also the referred to 6,144,997 patent) as to the specific citations to columns and lines in the Office Action, and concludes that the examiner in fact must have intended that the rejection rely on Kloba, U.S. 6,421,717. Consequently, Applicant addresses Kloba, U.S. 6,421,717, in the following remarks.

Kloba, at the cited portions, regards a synchronization operation of a mobile device with a server (e.g., Kloba, col. 5, lines 13-62). In the sync operation, tokenized codification of HTML pages is mirrored between the server and the client. The tokenized codification allows subsequent sync operations to readily ascertain what is/is not to be synced in order to mirror server and client data. The sync dictates what "revised channels are cached on the device 106 so that the content can be later accessed (step 160E) by the user in an off-line manner", as stated at Koba, col. 15, lines 49-51. Off-line interactive processing of the client is pursuant to form(s) interacting with content channels. In effect, the Kloba client can not request ad hoc information/data on the fly and obtain tokens from the server by wireless communications in real-time from remote location, to allow the client to discern those tokens at the client. Whatever the Kloba client then-maintains in cache as content/information, by virtue of the prior synchronization to mirror all data with the server, is what the client then can view, display, use, etc.

Applicant's amended claims, on the other hand, regard ad hoc requests for

information/data through remote wireless communications with the server. In real-time, the

server generates tokens for whatever the information/data is that is then-requested by the client,

from correspondence to a dictionary/database of the server of specific oft-recurring data

items/pieces/sequences/bits, etc. The tokens are wirelessly communicated back to the client in

real-time, and the client, by virtue of the tokens, can discern the information/data by the tokens

received. The tokens reduce the number of wireless communications required for the client to

obtain the information/data, but the client nonetheless can discern whatever was the

information/data of the request.

Kloba expresses its significant difference in these regards, for example, at col. 24, lines

48-53 (i.e., "detection 410 of device/client state information by server 104... the synchronization

process 412 includes only kinds of content 414 supported by various devices/clients 416").

Koba is limited to whatever the server has determined the client can receive, by virtue of the

prior sync that has mirrored client and server. Specifically, as to music, movies, books, photo

albums, and other collections of objects, for example, Kloba, at col. 26, lines 10-12, states that

such matters are selectable by the client device only "during the *next* synchronization operation"

because "the selected channel is synchronized with client" at that subsequent time; and as to web

sites, for example, Kloba, at col. 28, lines 16-18, when the user requests a new site, the server

"presets state information to enable the Web site to be displayed on the user's device... on the

next syncing operation".

13

Appl. No. 09/981,635 Amdt. Dated January 10, 2008

Reply to Office Action of July 10, 2007

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection, and

expeditious allowance of all pending claims.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments, the undersigned attorney for Applicant

respectfully requests a call to discuss any issues. The Office is authorized to charge any excess

fees or to credit any overage to the undersigned's Deposit Account No. 50-1350.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 10, 2008

By: / H. Dale Langley, Jr./

H. Dale Langley, Jr.

Reg. No. 35,927

The Law Firm of H. Dale Langley, Jr.

610 West Lynn

Austin, Texas 78703

Telephone: (512) 477-3830 Facsimile: (512) 480-0858

E-Mail: dlangley@iptechlaw.com

14